

1-1-1985

Casenotes Outline

Recommended Citation

, *Casenotes Outline*, 5 Loy. L.A. Ent. L. Rev. 129 (1985).
Available at: <http://digitalcommons.lmu.edu/elr/vol5/iss1/4>

This Notes and Comments is brought to you for free and open access by the Law Reviews at Digital Commons @ Loyola Marymount University and Loyola Law School. It has been accepted for inclusion in Loyola of Los Angeles Entertainment Law Review by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons@Loyola Marymount University and Loyola Law School. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@lmu.edu.

CASENOTES

CASENOTES OUTLINE

I. VIDEO GAMES	132
A. Copyright:	132
1. Copyright Infringement Of Video Games: When The Chips Are Down: <i>Midway Manufacturing Co.</i> <i>v. Strohon</i>	132
2. Copyright Protection Of Video Games: Pac-Man And Galaxian Granted Extended Play: <i>Midway</i> <i>Manufacturing Co. v. Artic International, Inc.</i>	143
II. RIGHT OF PUBLICITY	149
A. Exclusive Use Of Name And Likeness:	149
1. Commercial Exploitation Of A Celebrity's "Inden- tity": Johnny Carson Unseats Here's Johnny Porta- ble Toilets, Inc.: <i>Carson v. Here's Johnny Portable</i> <i>Toilets, Inc.</i>	149
2. Right Of Publicity Clarified: <i>Eastwood v. Superior</i> <i>Court</i>	158
III. CABLE AND TELEVISION	165
A. Copyright:	165
1. Videotaping Of Copyrighted Works For Temporary Classroom Use: <i>Encyclopaedia Britannica Educa-</i> <i>tional Corp. v. Crooks</i>	165
2. Copyright Royalty Tribunal's Second Distribution Withstands Challenge: <i>Christian Broadcast Network</i> <i>v. Copyright Royalty Tribunal</i>	176
B. Constitutional Law:	188
1. Access Of The Hearing-Impaired To Television Programming: <i>Community Television Of Southern</i> <i>California v. Gottfried</i>	198
2. Miami Ordinance Regulating Cable Television Transmission Of "Indecent" Material: The First Amendment To The Rescue: <i>Cruz v. Ferre</i>	198
3. Evangelical Broadcasting: FCC Investigation of Use Of Donations Does Not Infringe First Amend- ment Rights: <i>Scott v. Rosenberg</i>	205
C. Broadcast Regulation:	211

1. Sponsorship Identification: When Must A Broadcaster Disclose Who Really Pays For Those Political Advertisements: <i>Loveday v. Federal Communications Commission</i>	211
2. Fairness Doctrine: Forecast Is Foul Weather: <i>Democratic National Committee v. Federal Communications Commission</i>	218
D. Contract:.....	224
1. Broadcaster's Unjustified Refusal To Air Advertiser's Commercials Equals Breach of Contract: <i>Sam's Style Shop v. Cosmos Broadcasting Corp.</i>	224
E. Labor:	229
1. California Talent Agencies Act Applied To Television Commercial Production Company: <i>Cummins v. Film Consortium</i>	229
IV. FILM AND MOTION PICTURES	234
A. Copyright:	234
1. Copyright Infringement: Substantial Similarity Found Lacking: <i>Litchfield v. Spielberg</i>	234
B. Antitrust:	234
1. Theater "Splits" Under Fire: The Capitol Service Case: <i>United States v. Capitol Service</i>	241
V. MUSIC	245
A. Copyright:	245
1. Copyright Infringement: Temporal Remoteness Is No Defense: <i>ABKO Music, Inc. v. Harrisongs Music, Ltd.</i>	245
B. Contract:	259
1. Enforcement Of Personal Service Contracts In California: The \$6,000 Minimum Compensation Requirement—Teena Marie Has Motown Singing the Blues: <i>Motown Records Corp. v. Brockert</i>	250
C. Attorney-Client Relationship:	258
1. Conflict Of Interest And Attorney Disqualification In The Entertainment Law Field: <i>C.A.M. v. E.B. Marks Music, Inc.</i>	258
D. Trademark:	262
1. Trademark Infringement And The Right Of Publicity: Protecting The "Persona": <i>Bi-Right Enterprises, Inc. v. Button Master</i>	262
VI. SPORTS	269

A.	<i>RICO Convictions:</i>	269
1.	RICO, Reporter's Privilege And The Boston College Point-Shaving Scandal: <i>United States v. Burke; United States v. Mazzei</i>	269
B.	<i>Tax:</i>	282
1.	IRS Strikes Out In Attempt To Revoke Tax-Exempt Status Of Amateur Baseball Organization: <i>Hutchinson Baseball Enterprises, Inc. v. Commissioner</i>	282
VII.	BOOKS AND MAGAZINES	287
A.	<i>Defamation:</i>	287
1.	Jurisdictional Analysis: No Room For The First Amendment: <i>Calder v. Jones</i>	287